

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/775,742	GUNGOR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application: 71**

 (1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Burton Rodney (Reg. No. 22,076.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview: 30 November 2006**
**Time: 10:55am**
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6-9

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicant's representative on Thursday, November 30, 2006@10:55am and left a voice mail message concerning claims 6-9. Mr. Rodney returned the Examiner's call @11:57am of same day. The Examiner requested permission to: (1) limit the additional therapeutic agents in claim 6 to those which give examples in the specification; and (2) cancel claims 7-9, which are drawn to a non-elected invention.

88  
12/4/06